Neural Network Introduction

LING 575K Deep Learning for NLP Shane Steinert-Threlkeld April 6 2022







Announcements

- HW1 due tomorrow night, upload readme and hw1.tar.gz to Canvas
 - NB: separate files!
 - Do not put readme inside of tar.gz
- indices_to_tokens: no error handling
- You can/should use `Vocabulary.from_text_files` to build your vocab object • Factory design pattern allows for different initialization signatures in Python • E.g. from_csv in pandas, from_pretrained in huggingface (later this course)

- Note on *args and **kwargs
 - https://book.pythontips.com/en/latest/args_and_kwargs.html





*args and **kwargs

def add(a, b): return a + b return sum(args)

print(add(1, 2)) # 3 print(add(*(1, 2))) # 3 def add_any(*args): print(add_any(1, 2, 3)) # 6 print(add_any(1, 2, 3, 4)) # 10







*args and **kwargs

def keywords(name="Shane", course="575k"): return f"{name} is teaching {course}"

print(keywords(name="Agatha")) print(keywords(**{"name": "Agatha"}))

def keywords_any(**kwargs): for key, value in kwargs.items(): print(f"{key}: {value}")

keywords_any(name="Shane", course="575k"))

```
keywords_any(name="Shane", course="575k", foo="bar"))
keywords_any(**{"name": "Shane", "course": "575k"}))
```





Plan for Today

- Last time:
 - Prediction-based word vectors
 - Skip-gram with negative sampling [model + loss]
- Today: intro to feed-forward neural networks
 - Basic computation + expressive power
 - Multilayer perceptrons
 - Mini-batches
 - Hyper-parameters and regularization







Computation: Basic Example









 $\mathbf{a} = f(\mathbf{a}_0 \cdot \mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2)$

https://github.com/shanest/nn-tutorial

Artificial Neuron







Activation Function: Sigmoid





























| p | q | a |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |







| р | q | a |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |







| p | q | B |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |











Computing 'and'









The XOR problem

<-----



 $\mathbf W$ university of washington





XOR is not linearly separable

















Exercise: show that NAND behaves as described.



















- Hidden layers compute high-level / abstract features of the input
 - Via training, will *learn which features* are helpful for a given task
 - Caveat: doesn't always learn much more than shallow features
- Doing so *increases the expressive power* of a neural network
 - Strictly more functions can be computed with hidden layers than without

Key Ideas













• Neural networks with one hidden layer are universal function approximators

Expressive Power







- Neural networks with one hidden layer are universal function approximators
- neural network g with sigmoid activation such that $|f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x})| < \epsilon$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^m$.







- Neural networks with one hidden layer are universal function approximators
- neural network g with sigmoid activation such that $|f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x})| < \epsilon$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^m$.
- Generalizations (diff activation functions, less bounded, etc.) exist.







- Neural networks with one hidden layer are universal function approximators
- neural network g with sigmoid activation such that $|f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x})| < \epsilon$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^m$.
- Generalizations (diff activation functions, less bounded, etc.) exist.
- But:
 - Size of the hidden layer is *exponential* in *m*
 - How does one *find*/learn such a good approximation?







- Neural networks with one hidden layer are universal function approximators
- neural network g with sigmoid activation such that $|f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x})| < \epsilon$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^m$.
- Generalizations (diff activation functions, less bounded, etc.) exist.
- But:
 - Size of the hidden layer is *exponential* in *m*
 - How does one *find*/learn such a good approximation?
- Nice walkthrough: <u>http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com/chap4.html</u>





- Neural networks with one hidden layer are universal function approximators
- neural network g with sigmoid activation such that $|f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x})| < \epsilon$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^m$.
- Generalizations (diff activation functions, less bounded, etc.) exist.
- But:
 - Size of the hidden layer is *exponential* in *m*
 - How does one *find*/learn such a good approximation?
- Nice walkthrough: <u>http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com/chap4.html</u>
- See also <u>GBC</u> 6.4.1 for more references, generalizations, discussion





Feed-forward networks aka Multi-layer perceptrons (MLP)















$a_{and} = \sigma \left(w_{or}^{and} \cdot a_{or} + w_{nand}^{and} \cdot a_{nand} + b^{and} \right)$









$$a_{\text{and}} = \sigma \left(w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{or}} + w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{nand}} + e^{\alpha nand} \right)$$
$$= \sigma \left[\begin{bmatrix} a_{\text{or}} & a_{\text{nand}} \end{bmatrix} \left[\begin{bmatrix} w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \end{bmatrix} + e^{\alpha nand} \right] \right]$$











$$a_{\text{and}} = \sigma \left(w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{or}} + w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{nand}} + e^{\alpha nand} \right)$$
$$= \sigma \left[\begin{bmatrix} a_{\text{or}} & a_{\text{nand}} \end{bmatrix} \left[\begin{bmatrix} w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \end{bmatrix} + e^{\alpha nand} \right] \right]$$











$$a_{\text{and}} = \sigma \left(w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{or}} + w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{nand}} + a_{\text{nand}} + a_{\text{nand}} \right)$$
$$= \sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{\text{or}} & a_{\text{nand}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \end{bmatrix} + b^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \end{bmatrix} + b^{\text{and}} \right)$$
$$\xrightarrow{} a_{\text{or}} = \sigma \left(w_{p}^{\text{or}} \cdot a_{p} + w_{q}^{\text{or}} \cdot a_{q} + b^{\text{or}} \right)$$









$$a_{\text{and}} = \sigma \left(w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{or}} + w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{nand}} + w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{nand}} + b^{\text{and}} \right)$$
$$= \sigma \left([a_{\text{or}} \quad a_{\text{nand}}] \left[w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \right] + b^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \right] + b^{\text{and}} \\ a_{\text{or}} = \sigma \left(w_p^{\text{or}} \cdot a_p + w_q^{\text{or}} \cdot a_q + b^{\text{or}} \right)$$
$$a_{\text{nand}} = \sigma \left(w_p^{\text{nand}} \cdot a_p + w_q^{\text{nand}} \cdot a_q + b^{\text{nand}} \right)$$









Network

$$a_{and} = \sigma \left(w_{or}^{and} \cdot a_{or} + w_{nand}^{and} \cdot a_{nand} + a_{nand} + a_{nand} \right)$$

$$= \sigma \left([a_{or} \ a_{nand}] \left[w_{or}^{and} \\ w_{nand}^{and} \right] + b_{an}^{and} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{}$$

$$(nd) = \sigma \left([a_{p} \ a_{q}] \left[w_{q}^{or} \ w_{q}^{nand} \\ w_{q}^{or} \ w_{q}^{nand} \right] + [b_{q}^{or} \ b_{q}^{nand} \right)$$








XOR Network

$$a_{\text{and}} = \sigma \left(w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{or}} + w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \cdot a_{\text{nand}} + w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \right)$$
$$= \sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{\text{or}} & a_{\text{nand}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \end{bmatrix} + b^{\text{and}} \right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} nand \\ p \\ nand \\ q \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b \text{ or } b \text{ nand} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} wand \\ wor \\ wand \\ wand \\ nand \end{bmatrix} + b^{and}$$



Generalizing $a_{\text{and}} = \sigma \left(\sigma \left[\begin{bmatrix} a_p & a_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_p^{\text{or}} & w_p^{\text{nand}} \\ w_q^{\text{or}} & w_q^{\text{nand}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b^{\text{or}} & b^{\text{nand}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \end{bmatrix} + b^{\text{and}} \right)$







Generalizing

$a_{\text{and}} = \sigma \left[\sigma \left[\begin{bmatrix} a_p & a_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_p^{\text{or}} & w_p^{\text{nand}} \\ w_q^{\text{or}} & w_q^{\text{nand}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b^{\text{or}} & b^{\text{nand}} \end{bmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{bmatrix} w_{\text{or}}^{\text{and}} \\ w_{\text{nand}}^{\text{and}} \end{bmatrix} + b^{\text{and}} \right]$

$\hat{y} = f_2 \left(f_1 \left(x W^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right)$





$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Generalizing} \\ a_{\mathrm{and}} &= \sigma \Biggl[\sigma \Biggl[\begin{bmatrix} a_p & a_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_p^{\mathrm{or}} & w_p^{\mathrm{nand}} \\ w_q^{\mathrm{or}} & w_q^{\mathrm{nand}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b^{\mathrm{or}} & b^{\mathrm{nand}} \end{bmatrix} \Biggr] \Biggl[\begin{bmatrix} w_{\mathrm{or}}^{\mathrm{and}} \\ w_{\mathrm{ord}}^{\mathrm{and}} \end{bmatrix} + b^{\mathrm{and}} \Biggr] \\ \hat{y} &= f_2 \left(f_1 \left(xW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \\ \hat{y} &= f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(xW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Generalizing}\\ \mathbf{hd} &= \sigma \left(\sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_p & a_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_p^{\mathsf{or}} & w_p^{\mathsf{nand}} \\ w_q^{\mathsf{or}} & w_q^{\mathsf{nand}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b^{\mathsf{or}} & b^{\mathsf{nand}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} w_{\mathsf{or}}^{\mathsf{and}} \\ w_{\mathsf{nand}}^{\mathsf{and}} \end{bmatrix} + b^{\mathsf{and}} \\ \hat{y} &= f_2 \left(f_1 \left(xW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \\ \hat{y} &= f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(xW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right) \end{aligned}$$





Some terminology

- - Aka a multi-layer perceptron (MLP)
- Input nodes: 2; output nodes: 1
- Activation function: sigmoid

• Our XOR network is a feed-forward neural network with one hidden layer











input layer

General MLP

hidden layers



<u>source</u>



Weight to neuron j in layer 1 from neuron i in layer 0









input layer

General MLP

hidden layers



source



Weight to neuron j in layer 1 from neuron i in layer 0









input layer

General MLP

hidden layers



source



Weight to neuron j in layer 1 from neuron i in layer 0







General MLP







General MLP

 $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(x W^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$







General MLP

 $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(x W^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$

 $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n_0} \end{bmatrix}$ Shape: $(1, n_0)$







 $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(x W^1 \right) \right) \right) \right)$

 $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n_0} \end{bmatrix}$ Shape: (1,*n*₀)

General MLP

$$(1+b^1)W^2+b^2)\cdots W^n+b^n$$







 $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n_0} \end{bmatrix}$ Shape: (1,*n*₀)

General MLP $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(x W^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$



Shape: (n_0, n_1) n_0 : number of neurons in layer 0 (input) n_1 : number of neurons in layer 1





$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

Shape: $(1, n_0)$

 $b^1 = \begin{bmatrix} b_0^1 & b_1^1 & \cdots & b_{n_1}^1 \end{bmatrix}$ Shape: $(1, n_1)$

General MLP $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(x W^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$



Shape: (n_0, n_1) n_0 : number of neurons in layer 0 (input) n_1 : number of neurons in layer 1





Parameters of an MLP

- Weights and biases
 - For each layer $l: n_l(n_{l-1} + 1)$
 - $n_l n_{l-1}$ weights; n_l biases
- With *n* hidden layers (considering the output as a hidden layer):



 $\sum n_i(n_{i-1} + 1)$













- Input size, output size
 - Usually fixed by your problem / dataset
 - Input: image size, vocab size; number of "raw" features in general

• Output: 1 for binary classification or simple regression, number of labels for classification, ...







- Input size, output size
 - Usually fixed by your problem / dataset
- Input: image size, vocab size; number of "raw" features in general
- *Number* of hidden layers

• Output: 1 for binary classification or simple regression, number of labels for classification, ...







- Input size, output size
 - Usually fixed by your problem / dataset
 - Input: image size, vocab size; number of "raw" features in general
- *Number* of hidden layers
- For each hidden layer:
 - Size
 - Activation function

• Output: 1 for binary classification or simple regression, number of labels for classification, ...







- Input size, output size
 - Usually fixed by your problem / dataset
 - Input: image size, vocab size; number of "raw" features in general
- *Number* of hidden layers
- For each hidden layer:
 - Size
 - Activation function

• Output: 1 for binary classification or simple regression, number of labels for classification, ...

• Others: initialization, regularization (and associated values), learning rate / training, ...







The Deep in Deep Learning

- The Universal Approximation Theorem says that one hidden layer suffices for arbitrarily-closely approximating a given function
- Empirical drawbacks: Super-exponentially many neurons; hard to discover
- "Deep and narrow" >> "Shallow and wide" (<u>some theoretical analysis</u>)
 - In principle allows hierarchical features to be learned
 - More well-behaved w/r/t optimization







The Deep in Deep Learning

- The Universal Approximation Theorem says that one hidden layer suffices for arbitrarily-closely approximating a given function
- Empirical drawbacks: Super-exponentially many neurons; hard to discover
- "Deep and narrow" >> "Shallow and wide" (some theoretical analysis)
 - In principle allows hierarchical features to be learned
 - More well-behaved w/r/t optimization











The Deep in Deep Learning

- The Universal Approximation Theorem says that one hidden layer suffices for arbitrarily-closely approximating a given function
- Empirical drawbacks: Super-exponentially many neurons; hard to discover
- "Deep and narrow" >> "Shallow and wide" (some theoretical analysis)
 - In principle allows hierarchical features to be learned
 - More well-behaved w/r/t optimization



Edges (layer conv2d0)

Textures (layer mixed3a)

Patterns (layer mixed4a)



source

source





Activation Functions

- Note: non-linear activation functions are essential
- MLP: linear transformation, followed by a point-wise non-linearity, repeated several times over
- Without the non-linearity, would just have several linear transformations Composition of linear transformations is also linear!







Activation Functions

- Note: non-linear activation functions are essential
- MLP: linear transformation, followed by a point-wise non-linearity, repeated several times over
- Without the non-linearity, would just have several linear transformations Composition of linear transformations is also linear!

$$\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(x W^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$$







Non-linearity, cont.









Non-linearity, cont.

• Recall: XOR was not computable by a single neuron because the latter can only compute *linearly separable* functions









Non-linearity, cont.

- Recall: XOR was not computable by a single neuron because the latter can only compute *linearly separable* functions
- One perspective: integrating extracted features











- Recall: XOR was not computable by a single neuron because the latter can only compute *linearly separable* functions
- One perspective: integrating extracted features
- An equivalent perspective:
 - Transforming the input space (source; p. 169)
 - This is a *non-linear* transformation
 - Space folding intuition more generally (also GBC sec 6.4.1)









- Recall: XOR was not computable by a single neuron because the latter can only compute *linearly separable* functions
- One perspective: integrating extracted features
- An equivalent perspective:
 - Transforming the input space (source; p. 169)
 - This is a *non-linear* transformation
 - Space folding intuition more generally (also GBC sec 6.4.1)









Activation Functions: Hidden Layer sigmoid









Activation Functions: Hidden Layer sigmoid tanh

















Problem: derivative "saturates" (nearly 0) everywhere except near origin







Problem: derivative "saturates" (nearly 0) everywhere except near origin









Problem: derivative "saturates" (nearly 0) everywhere except near origin



- Use ReLU by default
- Generalizations:
 - Leaky
 - ELU

• • • • •

• Softplus





Activation Functions: Output Layer

- Depends on the task!
- Regression (continuous output(s)): none!
 - Just use final linear transformation
- Binary classification: sigmoid
 - Also for *multi-label* classification
- Multi-class classification: softmax
 - Terminology: the inputs to a softmax are called *logits*
 - [there are sometimes other uses of the term, so beware]

$\operatorname{softmax}(x)_{i} = \frac{e^{x_{i}}}{\sum_{i} e^{x_{j}}}$




Mini-batch computation







$$\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(x W \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

Shape: (1,*n*₀)

 $b^1 = \begin{bmatrix} b_0^1 & b_1^1 & \cdots & b_{n_1}^1 \end{bmatrix}$ Shape: $(1, n_1)$

th a Single Input $(^{1}+b^{1})W^{2}+b^{2})\cdots W^{n}+b^{n}$ $W^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{00}^{1} & w_{10}^{1} & \cdots & w_{0n_{1}}^{1} \\ w_{10}^{1} & w_{11}^{1} & \cdots & w_{1n_{1}}^{1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{n_{0}0}^{1} & w_{n_{0}1}^{1} & \cdots & w_{n_{0}n_{1}}^{1} \end{bmatrix}$

Shape: (n_0, n_1) n_0 : number of neurons in layer 0 (input) n_1 : number of neurons in layer 1





Mini-batch Gradient Descent (from lecture 2)

initialize parameters / build model

for each epoch:

- data = shuffle(data) batches = make batches(data)
- for each batch in batches:
 - outputs = model(batch) loss = loss fn(outputs, true outputs) compute gradients update parameters







Computing with Mini-batches

• Bad idea:

for each batch in batches: for each datum in batch: outputs = model(datum) loss = loss fn(outputs, true outputs) compute gradients update parameters







Computing with a Batch of Inputs





Computing with a Batch of Inputs $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(XW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$







Computing with a Batch of Inputs $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(XW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$









 $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(XW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$



Computing with a Batch of Inputs



W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON







Shape: (n_0, n_1) n_0 : number of neurons in layer 0 (input) n_1 : number of neurons in layer 1

Computing with a Batch of Inputs $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(XW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$



W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON





Computing with a Batch of Inputs $\hat{y} = f_n \left(f_{n-1} \left(\cdots f_2 \left(f_1 \left(XW^1 + b^1 \right) W^2 + b^2 \right) \cdots \right) W^n + b^n \right)$



Shape: (n_0, n_1) n_0 : number of neurons in layer 0 (input) n_1 : number of neurons in layer 1

W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON













- Most modern neural net libraries (e.g. PyTorch) expect the first dimension of matrices/tensors to be a batch size
 - Produce a sequence of representations, for each item in the batch
 - e.g. (batch_size, input_size) \rightarrow (batch_size, hidden_size) \rightarrow (batch_size, output_size)







- Most modern neural net libraries (e.g. PyTorch) expect the first dimension of matrices/tensors to be a batch size
 - Produce a sequence of representations, for each item in the batch
 - e.g. (batch_size, input_size) \rightarrow (batch_size, hidden_size) \rightarrow (batch_size, output_size)
- In principle, can be higher than 2-dimensional
 - Images: (batch_size, width, height, 3)
 - Sequences: (batch_size, seq_len, representation_size)







- Most modern neural net libraries (e.g. PyTorch) expect the first dimension of matrices/tensors to be a batch size
 - Produce a sequence of representations, for each item in the batch
 - e.g. (batch_size, input_size) \rightarrow (batch_size, hidden_size) \rightarrow (batch_size, output_size)
- In principle, can be higher than 2-dimensional
 - Images: (batch_size, width, height, 3)
 - Sequences: (batch_size, seq_len, representation_size)
- Two comments:
 - In your code, annotate every tensor with a comment saying intended shape • When debugging, look at shapes early on!!







Homework 2









Next Time

- Further abstraction: *computation graph*
- Backpropagation algorithm for computing gradients
 - Using forward/backward API for nodes in a comp graph





