Naïve Bayes

Adapted from F. Xia '18

LING 572 Advanced Statistical Methods for NLP Shane Steinert-Threlkeld January 14, 2020







Naïve Bayes Model

Naïve Assumption

• Training & Decoding

• Variants

Issues







ML Questions

- Modeling:
 - What is the model structure?
 - Why is it called Naïve Bayes?
 - What assumptions does it make?
 - What type of parameters are learned?
 - How many parameters?
- Training:
 - How are model parameters learned from data?
- Decoding:
 - How is model used to classify new data?







Probabilistic Model

- Given an instance x with features $f_1 \dots f_{k_1}$
 - Find the class with highest probability
 - Formally, $x = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_k)$, find $c^* = \arg \max_c p(c | x)$
 - Applying Bayes' Rule:

$$c^* = \arg \max_c \frac{p(x \mid c)p(c)}{p(x)}$$

- Maximizing:
- $c^* = \arg\max_c p(x \mid c)p(c)$







Naïve Bayes Model

- So far just Bayes' Rule
- Key question: How do we handle/combine features?
- Consider just P(xlc)

$$p(x \mid c) = p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \mid c) = \prod_j p(f_j \mid c, f_1, \dots, f_{j-1})$$

- Can we simplify? (Remember n-grams)
- Assume conditional independence

$$p(x \mid c) = p(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \mid c) = \prod_j p(x \mid c)$$

 $(f_j \mid c)$









Assumption: each f_i is conditionally independent from f_j given C.





Model parameters

- Choose
 - $c^* = arg max_c P(c | x)$
 - = arg max_c P(c) P(x | c) / P(x)
 - $= \arg \max_{c} P(c) P(x | c)$
 - = arg max_c P(c) \prod_{k} P(f_k I c)
- Two types of model parameters:
 - Class prior: P(c)
 - Conditional probability: $P(f_k | c)$
- The number of model parameters:
 - IpriorsI+Iconditional probabilitiesI
 - ICI + IFI*ICI
 - ICI+IVI*ICI, if features are words in vocabulary V

ICI is the number of classes, IFI is the number of features, IVI is the number of features.







Training stage: Estimating parameters Θ

• Maximum likelihood estimation (ML):





• Conditional prob:

 $p(f_j | c_i) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(f_j, c_i)}{\operatorname{count}(c_i)}$

- $\theta^* = \arg \max p(\operatorname{training data} | \theta)$







Training

- MLE issues?
 - What's the probability of a feature not seen with a c_i ?
 - 0?
 - What happens then?
- Solutions?
 - Smoothing
 - Laplace smoothing, Good-Turing, Witten-Bell
 - Interpolation, Backoff....







What are Zero Counts?

- Some of those zeros are really zeros...
 - Things that really can't or shouldn't happen.
- On the other hand, some of them are just rare events.
- Zipf's Law (long tail phenomenon):
 - A small number of events occur with high frequency
 - A large number of events occur with low frequency
 - You can quickly collect statistics on the high frequency events

If the training corpus had been a little bigger, they would have had a count (probably a count of 1!).

You might have to wait an arbitrarily long time to get valid statistics on low frequency events







- Pretend you saw outcome one more than you actually did.
- are n_1, \ldots, n_K which sum to N.
 - Without smoothing: $P(X = i) = n_i/N$
- With Laplace smoothing: $P(X = i) = \frac{n_i + 1}{N + K}$

Laplace Smoothing (add-one smoothing)

• Suppose X has K possible outcomes, and the counts for them





Testing stage

- MAP (maximum a posteriori) decision rule:
- Given our model and an instance $x = < f_1, ..., f_d >$

- classify (x)
- = classify $(f_1, ..., f_d)$
- $= \operatorname{argmax}_{c} P(c|x)$
- $= \operatorname{argmax}_{c} P(x|c) P(c)$
- = argmax_c P(c) \prod_{k} P(f_k | c)

W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON





Naïve Bayes for text classification









• Features: bag of words (word order information is lost)

- Number of feature types: 1
- Number of features: IVI
- Features: w_t , where $t \in \{1, 2, ..., |V|\}$

Features









• Is w_t a binary feature?

• Are absent features used for calculating $p(d_i | c_j)$?

Issues









Two Naïve Bayes Models (McCallum and Nigam, 1998)

- Multivariate Bernoulli event model (a.k.a. binary independence model)
 - All features are binary: the number of times a feature occurs in an instance is ignored.
 - When calculating p(d I c), all features are used, including the absent features.
- Multinomial event model: "unigram LM"







Multivariate Bernoulli event model







- Bernoulli trial: a statistical experiment having exactly two mutually
 - Ex: toss a coin
- Bernoulli distribution: has exactly two mutually exclusive outcomes: P(X=1)=p and P(X=0)=1-p.

Bernoulli distribution

exclusive outcomes, each with a constant probability of occurrence:







Multivariate Bernoulli Model

- Each document:
 - Result of IVI independent Bernoulli experiments
 - i.e., for each word in the vocabulary: does this word appear in the document?
- Another way to look at this: (to be consistent with the general NB model) • Each word in the voc corresponds to two features: W_k , $\overline{W_k}$
 - In any document, either w_k or $\overline{w_k}$ is present (and not both);
 - document.

• that is, it is always the case that exactly IVI features will be present in any







Training stage

- MLE estimate: $P(w_t | c_i) = \frac{\text{count}(w_t, c_i)}{\text{count}(c_i)}$ $P(c_i) = \frac{\text{count}(c_i)}{\sum_{i} \text{count}(c_i)}$
 - With add-one smoothing:
 - $P(w_t | c_i) = \frac{1 + \operatorname{count}(w_t, c_i)}{2 + \operatorname{count}(c_i)}$ $P(c_i) = \frac{1 + \operatorname{count}(c_i)}{|C| + \sum_j \operatorname{count}(c_j)}$







Notation used in the paper

 $P(w_t | c_j) = \frac{1 + \operatorname{count}(w_t, c_j)}{2 + \operatorname{count}(c_i)}$



 $P(c_j | d_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & d_i \text{ has label } c_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\hat{\theta}_{w_t|c_j} = P(w_t \mid c_j; \theta) = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} B_{it} P(c_j \mid d_i)}{2 + \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} P(c_j \mid d_i)}$$

- $B_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & w_t \text{ appears in } d_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$







Testing stage

 $classify(d_i) = \arg \max P(c)P(d_i | c)$ $P(d_i | c) = P(f_k | c)$ k $w_k \in d_i$

$= P(w_k | c) P(\overline{w_k} | c)$ $w_k \notin d_i$ $= P(w_k | c) \qquad 1 - P(w_k | c)$ $w_k \in d_i \qquad w_k \notin d_i$







Multinomial event model







Multinomial distribution

• Possible outcomes = { $w_1, w_2, ..., w_{|v|}$ }

- A trial for each word position: $P(CurWord = w_i) = p_i$ (with $\sum p_i = 1$)
- Let X_i be the number of times that w_i is observed in the document.

$$P(X_{1} = x_{1}, \dots, X_{v} = x_{v}) = p_{1}^{x_{1}} \cdots p_{v}^{x_{v}} \frac{n!}{x_{1}! \cdots x_{v}!}$$
$$= n! \prod_{k} \frac{p_{k}^{x_{k}}}{x_{k}!}$$

W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON





An example

- Suppose
 - the voc, V, contains only three words: a, b, and c.
 - a document, d_i, contains only 2 word tokens
 - For each position, P(w=a)=p1, P(w=b)=p2 and P(w=c)=p3.
- What is the prob that we see "a" once and "b" once in d_i?







An example (cont)

- 9 possible sequences: aa, ab, ac, ba, bb, bc, ca, cb, cc.
- The number of sequences with one "a" and one "b" (ab and ba): $n!/(x_1!...x_v!)$
- The prob of the sequence "ab" is $p_1 p_2$. so is the prob of the sequence "ba".

• So the prob of seeing "a" once and "b" once is:

$$n!\prod_{k}\frac{p_k^{x_k}}{x_k!} = 2p_1p_2$$









Multinomial event model

- A document is seen as a sequence of word events, drawn from the vocabulary V.
- N_{it} : the number of times that w_t appears in d_i

Modeling: multinomial distribution:

$$P(d_i \mid c_j) = P(\mid d_i$$







Training stage for multinomial model

 $P(c_j | d_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & d_i \text{ has label } c_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

 $P(w_t | c_j) = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} N_{it} P(c_j | d_i)}{|V| + \sum_{s=1}^{|V|} \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} N_{is} P(c_j | d_i)}$





Testing stage

$P(d_i | c) = P(|d_i|) | d_i | ! \prod_{k=1}^{|V|} \frac{P(w_k | c)^{N_{ik}}}{N_{ik}!}$ k=1

$classify(d_i) = \arg\max_{c} P(c)P(d_i | c)$ $classify(d_i) = \arg\max_{c} P(c) \prod_{k=1}^{i} P(w_k | c)^{N_{ik}}$







each trial corresponds to a word in the voc.

corresponds to a word position in the document.

Two models

Multi-variate Bernoulli event model: treat features as binary;

Multinomial event model: treat features as non-binary; each trial









Which model is better?

model

- Chapter 13 in (Manning et al., 2008): The Bernoulli model
 - is particularly robust w.r.t. concept shift
 - is more sensitive to noisy features (requiring feature selection)
 - peaks early for feature selection (see fig)
 - works well for shorter documents

(McCallum and Nigam, 1998): Multinomial event model usually beats the Bernoulli event







From (Manning et al., 2008)



Figure 13.8 Effect of feature set size on accuracy for multinomial and Bernoulli models.







Two models (cont)

	Multivariate Bernoulli	Multinomial
Features	Binary: present or absent	Real-valued: the occurrence
Each trial	Each word in the voc	Each word position in the doc
$P(c_i)$	$\frac{1 + \operatorname{count}(c_i)}{ C + \sum_j \operatorname{count}(c_j)}$	$\frac{1 + \operatorname{count}(c_i)}{ C + \sum_j \operatorname{count}(c_j)}$
$P(w_t \mid c_j)$	$P(w_t c_j) = \frac{1 + \operatorname{count}(w_t, c_j)}{2 + \operatorname{count}(c_j)}$	$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{ D } N_{it} P(c_j d_i)$ $ V + \sum_{s=1}^{ V } \sum_{i=1}^{ D } N_{is} P(c_j d_i)$
$classify(d_i)$	$\arg\max_{c} \prod_{w_k \in d_i} P(w_k \mid c) \prod_{w_k \notin d_i} 1 - P(w_k \mid c)$	$\arg\max_{c} P(c) \prod_{k=1}^{ V } P(w_k c)^{N_{ik}}$





Summary of Naïve Bayes

independent given the class.

• It generally works well despite the strong assumption. Why?

imply correct estimation."

Both training and testing are simple and fast.

• It makes a strong independence assumption: all the features are conditionally

• "Correct estimation implies accurate prediction, but accurate prediction does not







Summary of Naïve Bayes (cont)

- Strengths:
 - Simplicity (conceptual)
 - Efficiency at training
 - Efficiency at testing time
 - Handling multi-class
 - Scalability
 - Output topN
- Weaknesses:
 - Theoretical validity: the independence assumption
 - Prediction accuracy: might not be as good as MaxEnt etc.







Additional slides







- Task: Given an email message, classify it as 'spam' or 'not spam'
 - Form of automatic text categorization
- Features?

Spam detection









Western Union Money Transfer office29@yahoo.com.ph One Bishops Square Akpakpa E1 6AO, Cotonou **Benin Republic** Website: http://www.westernunion.com/ info/selectCountry.asP Phone: +229 99388639

Attention Beneficiary,

This to inform you that the federal ministry of finance Benin Republic has started releasing scam victim compensation fund mandated by United Nation Organization through our office.

I am contacting you because our agent have sent you the first payment of \$5,000 for your compensation funds total amount of \$500 000 USD (Five hundred thousand united state dollar)

We need your urgent response so that we shall release your payment information to you.

You can call our office hot line for urgent attention(+22999388639)

Doc1









Dnc2

Hello! my dear. How are you today and your family? I hope all is good, kindly pay Attention and understand my aim of communicating you today through this Letter, My names is Saif al-Islam al-Gaddafi the Son of former Libyan President. i was born on 1972 in Tripoli Libya, By Gaddafi's second wive.

I want you to help me clear this fund in your name which i deposited in Europe please i would like this money to be transferred into your account before they find it. the amount is 20.300,000 million GBP British Pounds sterling through a ...









What are good features?

- Words:
 - E.g., account, money, urgent
- Particular types of words/phrases:
 - large amount of money
 - Foreign/fake address/email/phone number

• Errors:

• Spelling, grammatical errors

. . .





