More λ-Calculus Lexical Semantics

LING 571 — Deep Processing Techniques for NLP Shane Steinert-Threlkeld







2021:









2021:



par + sing = parsing







2020:









2020:



Sea + Man + Ticks = Semantics









2023: ???







2023: ???





Roadmap

- FOL Semantics
- More Lambdas
- Learning Semantic Parsers
- Lexical Semantics
 - Motivation & Definitions
 - Word Senses
 - Tasks:
 - Word sense disambiguation
 - Word sense similarity
 - Distributional Similarity

















→ *Det.sem*(*NP.sem*) $\Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda y.Flight(y))$

NP {*Det.sem*(*NP.sem*)}

Det $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q. \forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\} \qquad \{\lambda y. Flight(y)\}$ Every









NP $\lambda P.\lambda Q. \forall x P(x)$ $\lambda Q. \forall x \lambda y. Flight(y)(x)$

 \rightarrow **Det.sem**(**NP.sem**) $\Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda y.Flight(y))$ $\Rightarrow Q(x)$

NP {*Det.sem*(*NP.sem*)}

Det $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q.\forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\} \qquad \{\lambda y.Flight(y)\}$

> Every









NP $\lambda P.\lambda Q. \forall x P(x)$ $\lambda Q. \forall x \lambda y. Flight(y)(x)$ *λQ.*∀*xFlight(x)*

 \rightarrow **Det.sem**(**NP.sem**) $\Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda y.Flight(y))$ $\Rightarrow Q(x)$ $\Rightarrow Q(x)$

NP {*Det.sem*(*NP.sem*)}

Det $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q.\forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\} \qquad \{\lambda y.Flight(y)\}\$

Every









NP $\lambda P.\lambda Q. \forall x P(x)$ $\lambda Q. \forall x \lambda y. Flight(y)(x)$ *λQ.*∀*xFlight(x)*

 \rightarrow **Det.sem**(**NP.sem**) $\Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda y.Flight(y))$ $\Rightarrow Q(x)$ $\Rightarrow Q(x)$

NP $\{\lambda Q. \forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\}$

Det $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q.\forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\} \qquad \{\lambda y.Flight(y)\}$

Every









NP $\{\lambda Q.\forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\}$ Det $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q.\forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\} \qquad \{\lambda y.Flight(y)\}$ Every













NP $\{\lambda Q.\forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\}$

S $\{NP.sem(VP.sem)\}$

VP $\{\lambda z.\exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z)\}$









S $\{\forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow \exists e Arrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, x)\}$

VP $\{\lambda z. \exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z)\}$







NP $\{\lambda Q. \forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\}$

$\lambda Q. \forall x Flight(x)$

S $\{\forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow \exists e Arrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, x)\}$

VP $\{\lambda z.\exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z)\}$

$\Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda z.\exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z))$









NP $\{\lambda Q. \forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\}$

$\lambda Q. \forall x Flight(x)$ ∀*xFlight(x*)

S $\{\forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow \exists e Arrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, x)\}$

VP $\{\lambda z.\exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z)\}$

$\Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda z.\exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z))$ $\Rightarrow \lambda z. \exists e Arrived(e) \land Arrived Thing(e, z)(x)$











NP $\{\lambda Q. \forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\}$

 $\lambda Q. \forall x Flight(x)$ ∀*xFlight(x*) ∀*xFlight(x)*

S $\{\forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow \exists e Arrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, x)\}$

VP $\{\lambda z.\exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z)\}$

$\Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda z.\exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z))$ $\Rightarrow \lambda z. \exists e Arrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, z)(x)$ ⇒∃*eArrived*(*e*) ∧ *ArrivedThing*(*e*, *x*)











$\{\forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow \exists e Arrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, x)\}$ VP $\{\lambda y. \exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, y)\}$ $\{\lambda y. \exists eArrived(e) \land ArrivedThing(e, y)\}$ arrived







More λ -Calculus



11

Common Nouns

- Noun -> 'restaurant' {λx.Restaurant(x)}
 - Somewhat similar to the NNP construction
 - λ var.Predicate(var)







Common Nouns

- Noun -> 'restaurant' {λx.Restaurant(x)}
 - Somewhat similar to the NNP construction
 - λ var.Predicate(var)
- But common nouns represent properties, rather than constants
 - Meaning of the noun encoded in the predicate
 - Relate the concept of the noun to a particular instance of variable









- "No vegetarian restaurant serves meat."
 - $\neg(\exists x VegetarianRestaurant(x) \land Serves(x,Meat))$







- "No vegetarian restaurant serves meat."
 - $\neg(\exists x VegetarianRestaurant(x) \land Serves(x, Meat))$
- "All vegetarian restaurants do not serve meat."
 - $\forall x \ VegetarianRestaurant(x) \Rightarrow \neg Serves(x, Meat)$







- "No vegetarian restaurant serves meat."
 - $\neg \exists x VegetarianRestaurant(x) \land Serves(x, Meat))$
- "All vegetarian restaurants do not serve meat."
 - $\forall x \ Vegetarian Restaurant(x) \Rightarrow Serves(x, Meat)$







- "No vegetarian restaurant serves meat."
 - $\neg \exists x VegetarianRestaurant(x) \land Serves(x, Meat))$
- "All vegetarian restaurants do not serve meat."
 - $\forall x \ Vegetarian Restaurant(x) \Rightarrow Serves(x, Meat)$
- These are semantically equivalent!
 - [IF P, THEN \neg Q] $\Leftrightarrow \neg$ [P AND Q]
 - $\neg \exists x P(x) \Leftrightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$







- "No vegetarian restaurant serves meat."
 - $\neg \exists x VegetarianRestaurant(x) \land Serves(x,Meat))$
- "All vegetarian restaurants do not serve meat."
 - $\forall x \ Vegetarian Restaurant(x) \Rightarrow Serves(x, Meat)$
- These are semantically equivalent!
 - [IF P, THEN \neg Q] $\Leftrightarrow \neg$ [P AND Q]
 - $\neg \exists x P(x) \Leftrightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$
- For NLTK, use the hyphen/minus character:

′_′





- Target representation:

• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$







• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

S NP VP NNP . . . John

 $S \rightarrow NP VP$

{*NP.sem*(*VP.sem*)}





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$



 $S \rightarrow NP VP$

{*NP.sem*(*VP.sem*)}





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$



 $S \rightarrow NP VP$ $NNP \rightarrow 'John'$ $NP \rightarrow NNP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$

{*NP.sem*(*VP.sem*)} $\{\lambda X.X(John)\}$ {*NNP.sem*} {*Verb.sem*(*NP.sem*)}





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$



 $S \rightarrow NP VP$ $NNP \rightarrow 'John'$ $NP \rightarrow NNP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$

{*NP.sem*(*VP.sem*)} $\{\lambda X.X(John)\}$ {*NNP.sem*} {*Verb.sem*(*NP.sem*)}





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$



 $S \rightarrow NP VP$ $NNP \rightarrow 'John'$ $NP \rightarrow NNP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$

{*NP.sem*(*VP.sem*)} $\{\lambda X.X(John)\}$ {*NNP.sem*} {*Verb.sem*(*NP.sem*)}





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$





 $NP \rightarrow Det NN$

{*Det.sem*(*NN.sem*)}




• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$





 $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $NN \rightarrow 'flight'$

{*Det.sem*(*NN.sem*)} $\{\lambda x.Flight(x)\}$







• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$





 $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $NN \rightarrow 'flight'$ $Det \rightarrow 'a'$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$





 $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $NN \rightarrow 'flight'$ $Det \rightarrow 'a'$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

NP $\{Det.sem(NN.sem)\}$

> $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $NN \rightarrow 'flight'$ $Det \rightarrow 'a'$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

NP $\{Det.sem(NN.sem)\}$ $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q.\exists x P(x) \land Q(x)(\lambda x.Flight(x))\}$

> $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $NN \rightarrow flight'$ $Det \rightarrow 'a'$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

NP $\{Det.sem(NN.sem)\}$ $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q.\exists x P(x) \land Q(x)(\lambda x.Flight(x))\}$ $\{\lambda Q. \exists x (\lambda x. Flight(x))(x) \land Q(x)\}$

> $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $NN \rightarrow flight'$ $Det \rightarrow 'a'$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

NP

 $\{Det.sem(NN.sem)\}$ $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q.\exists x P(x) \land Q(x)(\lambda x.Flight(x))\}$ $\{\lambda Q. \exists x (\lambda x. Flight(x))(x) \land Q(x)\}$ $\{\lambda Q. \exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x)\}$

> $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $NN \rightarrow 'flight'$ $Det \rightarrow 'a'$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

NP

 $\{Det.sem(NN.sem)\}$ $\{\lambda P.\lambda Q.\exists x P(x) \land Q(x)(\lambda x.Flight(x))\}$ $\{\lambda Q. \exists x (\lambda x. Flight(x))(x) \land Q(x)\}$ $\{\lambda Q. \exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x)\}$

> $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $NN \rightarrow flight'$ $Det \rightarrow 'a'$ 'a flight'

{*Det.sem*(*NN.sem*)} $\{\lambda x.Flight(x)\}$ $\{ \lambda P. \lambda Q. \exists x P(x) \land Q(x) \}$ $\{ \lambda Q. \exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x) \}$







• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

VP





booked a flight

 $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$ 'a flight'

{*Verb.sem*(*NP.sem*)} $\{ \lambda Q. \exists x \ Flight(x) \land Q(x) \}$







• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$



 $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$ 'a flight'

{*Verb.sem*(*NP.sem*)} $\{ \lambda Q. \exists x \ Flight(x) \land Q(x) \}$







• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$







NP

booked a flight

V

 $Verb \rightarrow 'booked'$ $\{\lambda W.\lambda z.W(\lambda y.\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))\}$

> $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$ 'a flight'

{*Verb.sem*(*NP.sem*)} $\{ \lambda Q. \exists x \ Flight(x) \land Q(x) \}$









• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

Verb.sem(NP.sem)







• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

Verb.sem(NP.sem) $\lambda W.\lambda z. W(\lambda y. \exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))(\lambda Q. \exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))$







• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

Verb.sem(NP.sem) $\lambda W.\lambda z. W(\lambda y. \exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))(\lambda Q. \exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))$ $\lambda z.(\lambda Q.\exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))(\lambda y.\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

Verb.sem(NP.sem) $\lambda W.\lambda z. W(\lambda y. \exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))(\lambda Q. \exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))$ $\lambda z.(\lambda Q.\exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))(\lambda y.\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))$ $\lambda z. \exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\lambda y. \exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, z) \land BookedThing(e, y))(x)$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

Verb.sem(NP.sem) $\lambda W.\lambda z. W(\lambda y. \exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))(\lambda Q. \exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))$ $\lambda z.(\lambda Q.\exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))(\lambda y.\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))$ $\lambda z. \exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\lambda y. \exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, z) \land BookedThing(e, y))(x)$ $\lambda z. \exists x Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,x))$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

Verb.sem(NP.sem) $\lambda W.\lambda z. W(\lambda y. \exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))(\lambda Q. \exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))$ $\lambda z.(\lambda Q.\exists x Flight(x) \land Q(x))(\lambda y.\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))$ $\lambda z. \exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\lambda y. \exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, z) \land BookedThing(e, y))(x)$ $\lambda z. \exists x Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,x))$





∃x Flight(x) ∧ (∃eBooked(e) ∧ Booker(e, John) ∧ BookedThing(e, x))



'booked a flight'

VP.sem(John) $\lambda z. \exists x Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e, x)$





• $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$

S VP.sem(John) 'booked a flight' $\lambda z. \exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,x))$

 λz . $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,x)(John))$ $\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$





 $Det \rightarrow 'a'$ $Det \rightarrow every'$ $NN \rightarrow 'flight'$ $Verb \rightarrow 'booked'$ $NNP \rightarrow 'John'$ $NP \rightarrow NNP$ $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $S \rightarrow NP VP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$

 $\{ \lambda P. \lambda Q. \exists x P(x) \land Q(x) \}$ $\{ \lambda P. \lambda Q. \forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x) \}$ $\{\lambda x.Flight(x)\}$ $\{\lambda X.X(John)\}$ {*NNP.sem*} {*Det.sem*(*NN.sem*)} {*NP.sem*(*VP.sem*)} {*Verb.sem*(*NP.sem*)}

 $\{\lambda W.\lambda z.W(\lambda y.\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))\}$









• \neg ($\exists x \ Flight(x) \land (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x)))$

• $\forall x Flight(x) \Rightarrow \neg (\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e, John) \land BookedThing(e, x))$







 $Det \rightarrow 'no'$ $Det \rightarrow 'a'$ $Det \rightarrow every'$ $NN \rightarrow 'flight'$ $Verb \rightarrow 'booked'$ $NNP \rightarrow 'John'$ $NP \rightarrow NNP$ $NP \rightarrow Det NN$ $S \rightarrow NP VP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$

 $\{ \lambda P. \lambda Q. \neg \exists x P(x) \land Q(x) \}$ $\{ \lambda P. \lambda Q. \exists x P(x) \land Q(x) \}$ $\{ \lambda P. \lambda Q. \forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x) \}$ $\{\lambda x.Flight(x)\}$ $\{\lambda X.X(John)\}$ {*NNP.sem*} {*Det.sem*(*NN.sem*)} {*NP.sem*(*VP.sem*)} {*Verb.sem*(*NP.sem*)}

 $\{\lambda W.\lambda z.W(\lambda y.\exists eBooked(e) \land Booker(e,z) \land BookedThing(e,y))\}$











Other Lambda Calculus







W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON 39







• Similar to nouns, but with an extra conjunction and dummy predicate:

Adjectives









- - "red" = $\lambda P \lambda x(red(x) \wedge P(x))$

• Similar to nouns, but with an extra conjunction and dummy predicate:









- - "red" = $\lambda P \lambda x(red(x) \wedge P(x))$
- Any issues?

• Similar to nouns, but with an extra conjunction and dummy predicate:









- - "red" = $\lambda P \lambda x(red(x) \wedge P(x))$
- Any issues?
 - Non-intersective adjectives (e.g. 'skillful', 'alleged', 'fake')

• Similar to nouns, but with an extra conjunction and dummy predicate:







Definite Article

- $a = \lambda P \cdot \lambda Q \cdot \exists x (P(x) \land Q(x))$
- the = $\lambda P \cdot \lambda Q \cdot \exists x (P(x) \land \forall y (P(y) \Leftrightarrow x = y) \land Q(x)))$
- Roughly: "The P Q": there is a *unique* P, which is also Q
 - Unique: x is P, and anything else that is also P is equal to x





Definite Article

- the = $\lambda P \cdot \lambda Q \cdot \exists x (P(x) \land \forall y (P(y) \Leftrightarrow x = y) \land Q(x)))$
 - Bertrand Russel, "<u>On Denoting</u>" (1905).
 - The definite article isn't exactly the same as a constant (like "John")
 - Rather, it picks out a set of items from a set (the generic NN), and makes a strong assertion:

A) The book arrived.

B) A book arrived.

• $A \models B$, but $B \nvDash A$







Definite Article + Presupposition

- "The slides for Monday are amazing."
 - ~> there are slides for Monday.
- "The slides for Monday are not amazing."
 - ~> there are slides for Monday.
- The P Q: presupposes that there is a unique P, does not assert it [Strawson 1950, ...]
 - If there is no P, "The P Q" is *neither true nor false*





Learning Semantic Parsers





Learning to Map Sentences to Logical Form: Structured Classification with Probabilistic Categorial Grammars

Luke S. Zettlemoyer and Michael Collins MIT CSAIL

lsz@csail.mit.edu, mcollins@csail.mit.edu

Abstract

This paper addresses the problem of mapping natural language sentences to lambda-calculus encodings of their meaning. We describe a learning algorithm that takes as input a training set of sentences labeled with expressions in the lambda calculus. The algorithm induces a grammar for the problem, along with a log-linear model that represents a distribution over syntactic and semantic analyses conditioned on the input sentence. We apply the method to the task of learning natural language interfaces to databases and show that the learned parsers outperform previous methods in two benchmark database domains.



Supervised learning:

- Sentences labeled with logical forms
- Induce grammar
- Plus semantic attachments
- Score analyses of ambiguous sentences with log-linear model







Learning from Denotations

Liang, Jordan, and Klein

Learning Dependency-Based Compositional Semantics



Figure 2

Our statistical methodology consists of two steps: (i) semantic parsing $(p(z \mid x; \theta))$: an utterance x is mapped to a logical form z by drawing from a log-linear distribution parametrized by a vector θ ; and (ii) evaluation ([[z]]_w): the logical form z is evaluated with respect to the world w (database of facts) to deterministically produce an answer y. The figure also shows an example configuration of the variables around the graphical model. Logical forms z are represented as labeled trees. During learning, we are given w and (x, y) pairs (shaded nodes) and try to infer the latent logical forms z and parameters θ .

(argmax



Learn semantic representations as *latent variables* for downstream task (QA, conversation, ...)







- Datasets
 - General:
 - Abstract Meaning Representations: <u>LDC2017T10</u>
 - Minimal Recursion Semantics: <u>DeepBank</u>
 - SQL:
 - Spider: <u>https://yale-lily.github.io/spider</u>
 - SParC: <u>https://yale-lily.github.io/sparc</u>

Resources







Resources: Knowledge Graphs

- R.I.P. Freebase
 - Used by Google Knowledge Graph, then bought and killed
 - [they have <u>an API</u> with 100,000 queries/day for free]
- BUT: data moved to Wikidata






Lexical Semantics







All these lambdas have me feeling...

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at **pollev.com/app**



Compositional vs Lexical Semantics

In the spring of 1976, Terry Parsons and Barbara Partee taught a course on Montague grammar, which i attended. On the second to the final day of class, Terry went around the room asking the students if there were any questions at all that remained unanswered, and promised to answer them on the last day of class. I asked if he really meant ANY question at all, which he emphatically said that he meant. As I had encountered a few questions in my lifetime that remained at least partially unresolved, I decided to ask one of them. What is life? What is the meaning of life? After all, Barbara and Terry had promised to provide answers to any question at all.

On the final day of class Barbara wore her Montague grammar T-shirt, and she and Terry busied themselves answering our questions. At long last, they came to my question. I anticipated a protracted and involved answer, but their reply was crisp and succinct. First Barbara, chalk in hand, showed me the meaning of life.

^<u>life</u>'

.

Terry then stepped up and showed me what life really is.

`^}<u>ife</u>'

As we were asked to show on a homework assignment earlier in the year, this is equivalent to: <u>life</u>'.

Leaving me astounded that I had been living in such darkness for all these years, the class then turned to the much stickier problem of pronouns.

Foreword

Carlson 1980





- Thus far: $POS \rightarrow Word \{sem\}$
 - Can compose larger semantic formulae bottom-up this way
 - ...but we haven't really discussed what a "word" is, semantically.

Lexical Semantics







- Thus far: $POS \rightarrow Word \{sem\}$
 - Can compose larger semantic formulae bottom-up this way • ...but we haven't really discussed what a "word" is, semantically.
- Lexical semantics:
 - How do we formally discuss what a "word" is?
 - How do we relate words to one another?
 - How do we differentiate/relate linked senses?

Lexical Semantics







What is a Plant?





What is a Plant?

not yet discovered.

• There are more kinds of plants and animals in the rainforests than anywhere else on Earth. Over half of the millions of known species of plants and animals live in the rainforest. Many are found nowhere else. There are even **plants** and animals in the rainforest that we have







What is a Plant?

- not yet discovered.
- The Paulus company was founded in 1938. Since those days the run **plants** packed with our comprehensive know-how.

• There are more kinds of **plants** and animals in the rainforests than anywhere else on Earth. Over half of the millions of known species of **plants** and animals live in the rainforest. Many are found nowhere else. There are even plants and animals in the rainforest that we have

product range has been the subject of constant expansions and is brought up continuously to correspond with the state of the art. We're engineering, manufacturing, and commissioning world-wide ready-to-





Lexical Semantics







...by way of dad-joke Halloween costumes. 🥝

Lexical Semantics









...by way of dad-joke Halloween costumes. 🥝



A Ceiling Fan

Lexical Semantics





Snakes on a Plane





...by way of dad-joke Halloween costumes. 🥝



A Ceiling Fan

(Painful) Examples of **Homonymy**

Lexical Semantics





Snakes on a Plane





Homonymy





Homonymy Polysemy





Homonymy Polysemy Synonymy





Homonymy Polysemy Synonymy Antonymy





Homonymy Polysemy Synonymy Antonymy [Hypo/Hyper]-nymy





Sources of Confusion: Homonymy

- Words have same form but different meanings
 - Generally same POS, but unrelated meaning
 - bank₁ (side of river)
 - bank₂ (financial institution)







Sources of Confusion: Homonymy

- Different types of Homonymy:
 - *Homophones*: same phonology, different orthographic form
 - two
 - to
 - too
 - *Homographs*: Same orthography, different phonology:
 - "*lead*" (metal)
 - "*lead*" (take somewhere)







Sources of Confusion: Homonymy

- Different types of Homonymy:
 - *Homophones*: same phonology, different orthographic form
 - two
 - to
 - too
 - *Homographs*: Same orthography, different phonology:
 - "*lead*" (metal)
 - "*lead*" (take somewhere)
- Why do we care?
 - Problem for applications: TTS, ASR transcription, IR





Sources of Confusion: Polysemy

- Multiple RELATED senses
 - e.g. bank: money, organ, blood





Sources of Confusion: Polysemy

- Multiple RELATED senses
 - e.g. bank: money, organ, blood
- Big issue in lexicography
 - Number of senses
 - Relations between senses
 - Differentiation





Sources of Confusion: Polysemy

- Example: [[serve]]
 - *serve breakfast*
 - serve Philadelphia
 - serve time





Sources of Confusion: Synonymy

- (near) identical meaning
- Substitutability
 - Maintains propositional meaning







Sources of Confusion: Synonymy

- Issues:
 - Also has polysemy!
 - Shades of meaning other associations
 - price vs. fare
 - *big* vs. *large*
 - water vs. H_20
- Collocational constraints
 - e.g. babbling brook vs. *babbling river
- Register:
 - social factors: e.g. politeness, formality





Sources of Confusion: Antonymy

- Opposition
- Typically ends of a scale
 - fast vs. slow
 - *big* vs. *little*
- Can be hard to distinguish automatically from synonyms







Sources of Confusion: Hyponomy

- instanceOf(x, y) relations:
- More General (*hypernym*) vs. more specific (*hyponym*)
 - *dog* vs. *golden retriever*
 - fruit vs. mango
- Organize as ontology/taxonomy







- Application of lexical semantics
- Goal: given a word *in context*, identify the appropriate sense • e.g. <u>plants</u> and animals in the rainforest
- Crucial for real syntactic & semantic analysis
 - Correct sense can determine
 - Available syntactic structure
 - Available thematic roles, correct meaning...

Word Sense Disambiguation





Robust Disambiguation

- More to semantics than predicate-argument structure
 - Select sense where predicates underconstrain
- Learning approaches
 - Supervised, bootstrapped, unsupervised
- Knowledge-based approaches
 - Dictionaries, taxonomies
- Contexts for sense selection







There are more kinds of plants and animals in the rainforests than anywhere else on Earth. Over half of the millions of known species of plants and animals live in the rainforest. Many are found nowhere else. There are even plants and animals in the rainforest that we have not yet discovered. **Biological Example**

The Paulus company was founded in 1938. Since those days the product range has been the subject of constant expansions and is brought up continuously to correspond with the state of the art. We're engineering, manufacturing and commissioning worldwide ready-to-run plants packed with our comprehensive know-how. Our Product Range includes pneumatic conveying systems for carbon, carbide, sand, lime and many others. We use reagent injection in molten metal for the... Industrial Example

Label the First Use of "Plant"





Roadmap

- Lexical Semantics
 - Motivation & Definitions
 - Word Senses
 - Tasks:
 - Word sense disambiguation
 - Word sense similarity
 - Distributional Similarity







- Part of Speech
 - Of word and neighbors







- Part of Speech
 - Of word and neighbors
- Morphologically simplified form







- Part of Speech
 - Of word and neighbors
- Morphologically simplified form
- Words in neighborhood
 - How big is "neighborhood?"
 - Is there a single optimal size? Why?





- (Possibly shallow) Syntactic analysis
 - predicate-argument relations
 - modification (complements)
 - phrases







- (Possibly shallow) Syntactic analysis
 - predicate-argument relations
 - modification (complements)
 - phrases
- Collocation
 - words in specific relation
 - Predicate-Argument, or (+/–)1 word index




Disambiguation: Features

- (Possibly shallow) Syntactic analysis
 - predicate-argument relations
 - modification (complements)
 - phrases
- Collocation
 - words in specific relation
 - Predicate-Argument, or (+/–)1 word index
- Co-occurrence
 - bag of words

W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON





Disambiguation: Evaluation

- Ideally, end-to-end evaluation with WSD component
 - Demonstrate real impact of technique in system
 - Difficult, expensive, still application specific







Disambiguation: Evaluation

- Ideally, end-to-end evaluation with WSD component
 - Demonstrate real impact of technique in system
 - Difficult, expensive, still application specific
- Typically intrinsic, sense-based
 - Accuracy, precision, recall
 - SENSEVAL/SEMEVAL: all words, lexical sample







WSD Evaluation

- Baseline:
 - Most frequent sense







WSD Evaluation

- Baseline:
 - Most frequent sense
- Ceiling:
 - Human inter-rater agreement
 - 75-80% fine
 - 90% coarse







Roadmap

- Lexical Semantics
 - Motivation & Definitions
 - Word Senses
 - Tasks:
 - Word sense disambiguation
 - Word sense similarity
 - Distributional Similarity













- Synonymy:
 - True propositional substitutability is rare, slippery







- Synonymy:
 - True propositional substitutability is rare, slippery

- Word similarity (semantic distance)
 - Looser notion, more flexible







- Appropriate to applications:
 - IR, summarization, MT, essay scoring
 - Don't need binary +/– synonym decision
 - Want terms/documents that have high *similarity*







- Appropriate to applications:
 - IR, summarization, MT, essay scoring
 - Don't need binary +/– synonym decision
 - Want terms/documents that have high *similarity*
- Approaches:
 - Distributional
 - Thesaurus-based







Similarity vs. Relatedness







Similarity vs. Relatedness

- Similarity:
 - car, bicycle
 - nickel < coin < currency







Similarity vs. Relatedness

- Similarity:
 - car, bicycle
 - nickel < coin < currency

- Related:
 - car, gasoline
 - coin, budget







- Build ontology of senses
 - e.g. <u>WordNet</u>
 - Use distance to infer similarity/relatedness:



W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON 75





- Build ontology of senses
 - e.g. <u>WordNet</u>
 - Use distance to infer similarity/relatedness:



W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON 75





- Build ontology of senses
 - e.g. <u>WordNet</u>
 - Use distance to infer similarity/relatedness:







Roadmap

- Lexical Semantics
 - Motivation & Definitions
 - Word Senses
 - Tasks:
 - Word sense disambiguation
 - Word sense similarity
 - Distributional Similarity







• "You shall know a word by the company it keeps!" (<u>Firth, 1957</u>)







- "You shall know a word by the company it keeps!" (<u>Firth, 1957</u>)
 - A bottle of *tezgüino* is on the table.







- "You shall know a word by the company it keeps!" (<u>Firth, 1957</u>)
 - A bottle of *tezgüino* is on the table.
 - Everybody likes *tezgüino*.







- "You shall know a word by the company it keeps!" (<u>Firth, 1957</u>)
 - A bottle of *tezgüino* is on the table.
 - Everybody likes *tezgüino*.
 - *Tezgüino* makes you drunk.







- "You shall know a word by the company it keeps!" (<u>Firth, 1957</u>)
 - A bottle of *tezgüino* is on the table.
 - Everybody likes *tezgüino*.
 - *Tezgüino* makes you drunk.
 - We make *tezgüino* from corn.







- "You shall know a word by the company it keeps!" (<u>Firth, 1957</u>)
 - A bottle of *tezgüino* is on the table.
 - Everybody likes *tezgüino*.
 - *Tezgüino* makes you drunk.
 - We make *tezgüino* from corn.
- Tezguino: corn-based alcoholic beverage. (From Lin, 1998a)







- representations
- 'Company' = context

Represent 'company' of word such that similar words will have similar







- representations
- 'Company' = context
- Word represented by context feature vector
 - Many alternatives for vector

• Represent 'company' of word such that similar words will have similar







- representations
 - 'Company' = context
- Word represented by context feature vector
 - Many alternatives for vector
- Initial representation:
 - 'Bag of words' binary feature vector
 - Feature vector length *N*, where *N* is size of vocabulary
 - $f_i=1$ if word_i within window size w of word₀

• Represent 'company' of word such that similar words will have similar

W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON 78





Context Feature Vector

	arts	boil	data	function	large	sugar	summarized	water
Apricot	0	I	0	0	I	I	0	I
Pineapple	0	I	0	0	I	I	0	I
Digital	0	0	I.	I	I	0		0
Information	0	0	Ι	I	I	0		0





Distributional Similarity Questions

- What is the right neighborhood?
 - What is the context?
- How should we weight the features?
- How can we compute similarity between vectors?



